| 2 | FILED ANUT |
|----|--|
| 3 | CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT |
| 4 | |
| 5 | CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEPUTY |
| 7 | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CRO3-00820 ABC |
| 11 | Plaintiff,) ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING (Fed.R.Crim.P. 32.1(a)(6) |
| 12 |) (Fed.R.CIIII.F. 32.1(a) (5) 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a) V. Allegations of Violations of |
| 13 | PHAYVANH SAYASANH,) Probation/Supervised Release Conditions) |
| 14 | Defendant. |
| 15 | On arrest warrant issued by the United States District Court for |
| 16 | Coch involving alleged violations of conditions of |
| 17 | |
| 18 | probation/supervised release, The court finds no condition or combination of conditions that will |
| 19 | |
| 20 | reasonably assure: A. \swarrow the appearance of defendant as required; and/or |
| 21 | for person or the community. |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | 3 |

| | | 1 | |
|----|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| 1 | | The Court concludes: | |
| 2 | A. () | Defendant poses a risk to the safety of other | |
| 3 | | persons or the community because defendant has not | |
| 4 | | demonstrated by clear and convincing evidence that: | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | в. (🔀 | Defendant is a flight risk because defendant has | |
| 9 | • | not shown by clear and convincing evidence that: | |
| 10 | | Le Can abdity and of where | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | IT IS ORDERED defendant be detained. | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | DATED: 6-15-0 | Churyn luchi | |
| 18 | | CAROLYN TURCHIN U.S. MAGISTRATE/DISTRICT JUDGE | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 1 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | 227/227 | | |
| 27 | [11/04] | | |
| 28 | 1 | | |